OP 4. Faculty Workload, Annual Reviews, Faculty Teaching Performance Indicators, Post-Tenure Review

OP 4.1 Faculty Professional Workload and Annual Evaluations. Because faculty workloads may be varied in the distribution of duties by academic discipline, accrediting standards, and missions of the department, unit, college, and university and because of the complexity of faculty work activities, individual faculty workloads are managed at the department level.

OP 4.1.1 Faculty Professional Workload Guidelines. The voting-eligible faculty of each department shall develop, in line with UNC Policy Manual 400.3.4 Faculty Handbook Section 2.6, and in consultation with the Dean and Department Chair, written workload guidelines. These guidelines shall be voted on by the voting-eligible faculty and shall be part of the department’s foundational documents. These guidelines shall:

a. be consistent with the expectation that, in general, a 1.0 FTE appointment equates to a faculty teaching load of 24 credit hours (or equivalent contact hours) per academic year. Faculty members holding additional responsibilities for research/creative activities and service as identified in their annual work plan can have their teaching workload adjusted on a commensurate basis;

b. specifically outline any relevant course load equivalencies for lab, clinical, studio, oversized, distance, fully online or hybrid course.

c. detail how other instructional efforts, such as developing courseware or other materials for technology-based instruction, supervising undergraduate research and masters’ theses and doctoral dissertations, directing students in co-curricular activities, preparing and equipping new laboratories, supervision of teaching assistants, supervision of internships, academic advising, mentoring, and other activities that support student success, contribute to a faculty member’s total workload;

d. designate the means and extent by which a faculty member’s scholarship, research, and/or creative activity in that person’s area of specialization, including (but not limited to), working in laboratories and studios, conducting empirical and/or theoretical research, engaging in development or translational work, and/or producing creative works such as articles, monographs, and grant proposals, editing scholarly journals, preparing juried art exhibits, directing centers and institutions, or performing in plays, concerts, or musical recitals, count toward the faculty member’s total workload;

e. designate the means and extent by which a faculty member’s service activities that enhance the scholarly life of the university or the discipline, improve the quality of life or society, promote the general welfare of the institution, professional and academic societies, the community, the state, the nation, or international community or a faculty member’s administrative responsibilities, (including but not limited to, department chair, program director, and center director) contribute to a faculty member’s total workload;

f. attend to workload equity among faculty.
OP 4.1.2 Faculty Annual Work Plan. Each faculty member shall work with their department chair or supervisor to develop a work plan for the upcoming academic year (July 1-June 30), in alignment with the institution’s workload expectations and the needs of the academic department, college/school, or institution, in accordance with Faculty Handbook Section 2.6.

OP 4.1.2.1 Workload Assignments. After developing the faculty annual work plan with each faculty member, soliciting faculty teaching preferences, and prior to making final faculty instructional assignments in accordance with department workload guidelines, the Department Chair shall apprise each faculty member of teaching duties and other responsibilities in addition to teaching. If changes in a faculty member's instructional assignment become necessary, the faculty member shall be notified of such changes as soon as possible.

OP 4.1.3 Faculty Annual Evaluation. During the spring term, each faculty member shall be evaluated for the academic year in accordance with the applicable section of the Faculty Handbook (see sections 3.7 and 4.10). Department chairs are required to hold a conference with every member of the department who is to continue as a member of the faculty for the next year to discuss the annual evaluation and create a work plan for the next academic year.

OP 4.1.3.1 Provisional Evaluation. The Annual Evaluation emerging from the Spring meeting shall be considered provisional until June 30. If no changes are made by July 15 (see OP 4.1.5), the Annual Evaluation shall become permanent.

OP 4.1.3.2 Revision of the Annual Evaluation. The Department Chair or the faculty member may submit additional relevant materials (e.g. publication notice, professional award, disciplinary action, etc.) to a faculty member’s Annual Evaluation until July 15. Those materials shall become part of the permanent record. If there is any change to the Department Chair’s assessment of the faculty member, the Department Chair may revise the Provisional Annual Evaluation, with notice to the faculty member, no later than August 1.

OP 4.1.3.3 Faculty Response to the Annual Evaluation. All faculty members shall be entitled to respond to the Annual Evaluation (provisional or revised) in writing. That response must be within ten (10) calendar days of the spring conference for the Provisional or by August 15 for the revised evaluation. Any written response(s) shall be appended by the Department Chair to the Annual Evaluation as part of the permanent record.

OP 4.2 Faculty Teaching Performance Indicators. Faculty with teaching responsibilities shall have their teaching performance reviewed annually by methods that include: (1) Student reviews of teaching conducted at regular intervals (at least one semester of each academic year) and (2) Peer reviews of teaching evaluations including direct observation of the classroom. These assessments shall occur as designated by the Faculty Handbook sections 3.6 and 4.9 as well as relevant department policies.
OP 4.3 Post-Tenure Review (PTR)

OP 4.3.1 PTR and Tenured Academic Administrators. All Department Chairs, tenured faculty members receiving a total teaching-load reassignment of six credit hours or more in an academic year for the performance of administrative duties, and any tenured faculty member with an SAAO appointment are exempt from PTR during service in that role. In these instances, the PTR timeline starts upon return to the full-time faculty.

OP 4.3.2 PTR and Faculty on Leave. Any academic year during which a tenured faculty member is on unpaid professional leave or paid/unpaid medical or family leave for more than 25 class days of the academic calendar, or receives a total teaching-load reduction of more than six credit hours for medical or family reasons, will not count for the post-tenure review five-year cycle unless the faculty member requests in writing to the Department Chair that it be counted. Such a request must be made within one calendar year following the end of the leave or course-load reduction.

OP 4.3.3 PTR Committee Election and Training

OP 4.3.3.1 PTR Committee. Each Department shall have in its foundational documents a procedure for:
1. Election by the tenured faculty of a PTR Committee composed of at least three (3) tenured faculty to staggered, non-renewable (where possible), three (3) year terms.
   a. In the event that there are not three tenured faculty in the Department, the process shall indicate that tenured faculty make nominations and elect the necessary number of tenured faculty members from an allied discipline to serve on the PTR Committee.
2. A process for election of members to fill vacancies in the PTR.
3. A process for the appointment of a PTR Chair. That process may be election by the tenured faculty, election by members of the PTR Committee, or appointment by the Department Chair.
4. A process to ensure that all PTR considerations conform to OP 10.1, Professionalism in Personnel Decision Making.

OP 4.3.3.2 PTR Committee Training. All members of a PTR Committee shall complete training annually via an online module (PDF version) on how to perform a meaningful and unbiased review of a faculty member.

OP 4.3.4 PTR Five Year-Plan. At the beginning of the PTR cycle, the faculty member shall develop with the Department Chair a five-year goal or plan. This plan can be modified annually by the faculty member, in consultation with the Department Chair, as deemed appropriate by changes in institutional, departmental, or personal circumstances. Annual reviews shall be considered as a component of post-tenure review, but alone are not a substitute for a comprehensive five-year evaluation.
**OP 4.3.5 PTR Materials.**

**OP 4.3.5.1 Materials Submitted to the PTR Committee by the Faculty Member.** Each faculty member under review shall provide to the PTR Committee a one (1) page summary of their accomplishments over the previous five (5) years and a current *curriculum vita*.

**OP 4.3.5.2 Materials Submitted to the PTR Committee by the Department Chair.** The Department Chair shall provide the PTR committee with copies of the faculty member’s annual reviews for the previous five (5) years and a copy of the faculty member’s five-year goal or plan.

**OP 4.3.6 PTR Committee Procedures.** The following procedures shall guide the PTR process.

**OP 4.3.6.1 Calling Meetings of the PTR Committee.** The PTR Committee Chair shall call and schedule all PTR Committee meetings. The announcement shall clearly state the time and location (or means) of the meeting and how to access all materials pertinent to the meeting.

**OP 4.3.6.2 Location and Type of PTR Committee Meeting.** All meetings of the PTR Committee shall be held on campus, or via electronic access on a University approved platform, or shall combine these two options. If the meeting is conducted electronically (in whole or in part), the PTR Committee Chair shall host the meeting. Any University employee participating in a PTR Committee meeting electronically is responsible for securing a setting that maintains the confidentiality requirements of the PTR Committee.

**OP 4.3.6.3 Electronic Recording of PTR Committee Meetings.** Because of the confidentiality required for consideration of personnel matters, electronic recording of PTR Committee meetings by any party is prohibited.

**OP 4.3.6.4 Conduct of PTR Committee Meetings.** All PTR Committee meetings shall be conducted using procedures outlined in *Robert’s Rules of Order*, as currently revised.

**OP 4.3.6.5 Keeping of PTR Committee Meeting Minutes.** The PTR Committee shall select someone to take minutes at each meeting. The minutes shall record:

1. all persons in attendance at the meeting and all members of the committee absent from the particular meeting;
2. any business under discussion;
3. any action considered. All motions shall be stated in the affirmative. The record shall state that the PTR formally considered a candidate and shall state the materials and criteria that were considered, but the record shall not include individual comments;
4. all votes (the number of affirmative votes and negative votes) on each action, but not the votes of individual members of the committee.

**OP 4.3.6.6 Confidentiality of PTR Committee Meetings.** Confidentiality must be maintained by the PTR Committee. Confidentiality means not sharing or discussing any of the following outside of the PTR Committee: any materials submitted, PTR Committee discussions and deliberations, and PTR Committee determinations. No discussion of PTR Committee members about a personnel matter shall take place outside the confines of an PTR Committee meeting.

**OP 4.3.6.7 Security of PTR Materials.** All materials shall remain in a secure location in the departmental office (under the supervision of the Department Chair) and/or in a secure University electronic server file with access provided to all PTR members and subsequent reviewers.

**OP 4.3.6.8 PTR and Joint Appointees.** In the case of a joint faculty appointee, the Department Chair shall make sure that any process necessary in any other Department regarding that faculty member’s PTR stipulated in the letter of appointment is undertaken.

**OP 4.3.6.9 PTR Committee Evaluation.** The PTR committee shall review all submitted materials and shall draft a one (1) page summary concerning its evaluation. The faculty member's performance over the previous five (5) years shall be ranked as either: (1) exceeds expectations; (2) meets expectations; or (3) does not meet expectations. This summary shall be in writing on this form and provided to the faculty member and the Department Chair(s) within twenty (20) calendar days of receiving the materials, barring any extenuating circumstances. This summary shall include recognition for exemplary performance, if applicable.

**OP 4.3.7 Right to Grieve Post Tenure Review/Professional Success Plan.** Consistent with *The Code & UNC Policy Manual*, a faculty member may choose to(grieve) violations of federal or state law, UNC Policy or Regulation, or constituent institution policy or regulation of the PTR process or Professional Success Plan [PSP] and where the faculty member was negatively affected by such decision. This grievance must be filed in accordance with the provisions of Section 607 of *The Code & UNC Policy Manual*. The faculty member shall not be required to adhere to the terms of any PSP, if a grievance has been timely filed and an Faculty Hearing Committee panel is in the process of determining if the grievance shall be heard, is hearing the grievance, or if all appellate processes are not completed [see OP 9, Faculty Employment Rights].